

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
00/117.214	07/24/1998	ALASTAID HIIGH SERVANTE	202/30 35 37	3424

7590

04/24/2003

WENDEROTH LIND & PONACK 2033 K STREET NW SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20006 EXAMINER

PRATT, CHRISTOPHER C

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1771

DATE MAILED: 04/24/2003

## BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<u> </u>	A S.2		
Advisory Action		Applicati n No.	ب, plicant(s)			
		09/117,214	SERVANTE ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Christopher C Pratt	1771			
Th	e MAILING DATE of this c mmunication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ado	ress		
Therefore, fur final rejection condition for	FILED 15 April 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TH rther action by the applicant is required to a under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica  1) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper repl n places the applica	y to a ation in		
	PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
b) The no er ONL 706. Extensions fee have been fi fee under 37 CF (2) as set forth i	period for reply expires <u>6</u> months from the mailing date of this period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this rent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire of CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WARD (1). Of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The led is the date for purposes of determining the period R 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the control of th	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION.  R 1.136(a) and the apprunt of the fee. The approriginally set in the final	on. See MPEP copriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or		
37 CF	ce of Appeal was filed on Appellant'R 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF oposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	R 1.191(d) to avoid dismissate	the appear	,		
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
• • • =	ey raise the issue of new matter (see Note	•	,			
	ey are not deemed to place the application ues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or si	mplifying the		
(d) 🔲 tl	ney present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	s.		
, <b>N</b>	OTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applica	nt's reply has overcome the following rejec	tion(s):				
	proposed or amended claim(s) would ling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment		
	$\square$ affidavit, b) $\square$ exhibit, or c) $\boxtimes$ request fo ation in condition for allowance because: <u>A</u>			T place the		
	fidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which wer	e newly		
	∑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)      Z will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The st	atus of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim	(s) allowed:					
Claim	Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim	(s) rejected: <u>17-24 and 33-41</u> .					
Claim	(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The pr	B. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note th	P. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other						



Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant's proposed amendment now attempts to claim a plasticized coating and adds a limitation concerning the reaction of components. These limitations have not been previously considered. .

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

TERREL MORRIS

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700